SRM INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

SCHOOL OF COMPUTING

Department of Computing Technologies

VII SEMESTER – NOVEMBER 2023

18CSP107L/ 18CSP108L- MINOR PROJECT / INTERNSHIP-FINAL REVIEW

**Scheduled Date: 04.11.2023**

Dear All,

The B.Tech 18CSP107L/18CSP108L Minor Project / Internship – Final Review will be conducted on **04.11.2023**. All the Minor Project / Internship student must attend the Final review without fail.

**Instructions for the Final Review**

1. Prepare a presentation for 10 minutes with the following contents:
   * Project Title and Abstract
   * Problem statement and Objectives
   * Proposed Methodology
   * Architecture / Block Diagram of the proposed Methodology
   * Modules Description and Implementation (100%)
   * Results and Discussion
   * Output Screenshots
   * References
2. Every student in a batch should be available during the review, absence will be viewed seriously. If a student is marked as absent by the panel, then he/she will get zero marks for the Final review.
3. The P**roject Report** has to be prepared in **latex format** (attached).Every batch should submit the **Project Report** in the given format **(Attached)** duly signed by their supervisor with a **plagiarism check report (**it should be less than 10%). This process should be done in proper consultation and discussion with the respective guide. The submitted project report should be verified and approved by the guide. Panel heads and guides will help the students for plagiarism checking in approved software (Turnitin).
4. Each batch should maintain a project diary in which your regular meetings and discussions with your guide should be recorded as on date.
5. The guide has every right to reject your report of your work, if it does not comply with the required format. The photocopy of the Paper publication / Acceptance needs to be attached at the end of your report.
6. Project guides are requested to collect the **soft copy** of all the documents (specified in **checklist for guides)** from the student on or before **04.11.2022** and share it to the panel heads.
7. Students should submit the **Hard copy** of project report and checklist documents to their guides on or before **04.11.2022.**
8. **For Query response sheet, guide should give 5 different questions for each students in a batch**
9. Rubrics for Final Review

**MARKS SPLIT UP FOR THE REVIEW – 18CSP107L/18CSP108L MINOR PROJECT / INTERNSHIP**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CO** | **PI Code** | **PI** | **Marks** |
| **2** | **2.8.4** | Arrive at conclusions with respect to the objectives- GA | **3** |
| **3** | **5.6.1** | Use appropriate procedures, tools and techniques to conduct experiments and collect data-GA +IA | **5** |
| **2** | **4.6.1** | Able to implement and demonstrate the modules using appropriate techniques and tools -IA | **3** |
| **3** | **9.6.1** | Present results as a team, with smooth integration of contribution from all individual efforts -GA +IA | **4** |
| **3** | **10.5.2** | Deliver effective oral presentation to examiners-IA | **3** |
| **4** | **11.5.1** | Create flow in a document or presentation - a logical progression of ideas so that the main point is clear | **2** |
| **4** | **8.4.2** | Produce clear, well-constructed, and well-supported written engineering documents | **5** |
|  |  | Publication | **5** |
|  |  | TOTAL | **30** |

1. Publication Mark Details

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Status of Paper Publication** | **Marks (5)** |
| Paper Accepted | 5 |
| Under Review | 3 |
| Submitted to the Journal / Elite Conference | 4 |
| Writing done but not yet submitted to the journal | 3 |
| Under preparation | 2 |
| Not initiated | 0 |

**Review – 25 marks, Publication –5 marks, Total –30 marks**

**MARKS SPLIT UP FOR THE PROJECT REPORT – 18CSP107L/18CSP108L MINOR PROJECT / INTERNSHIP**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Rubric #R4: Project Report / Internship Report Evaluation** | | | | | |  |  |
| **Maximum Marks: 20** | | | | |  |  |  |
| **PI** | **Performance Criteria** | **Marks** | | **Excellent (20-16)** | **Good (15-11)** | **Average (10-6)** | **poor (5-0)** |
| 10.4.2 | Organization of the Report | 5 | 2 | Excellent organization enhances readability and/or understandability of report. | Content appropriate to all section of report. | Some content placed incorrectly in report. | Inappropriate content of several sections of report. |
| Report Format | 1 | Project report is according to the specified format | Project report is according to the specified format. | Project report is not completely according to the specified format. | Project report is not prepared according to the specified format. |
| Grammar, Citations and References | 1 | Sentences are grammatical and free from spelling errors. | Sentences are grammatical and free from spelling errors. | Sentences are mostly grammatical and few | Grammatical and spelling errors make it difficult for the reader to Interpret the text in places. |
| 1 | References and citations are appropriate and well mentioned as per the format | References and citations are not appropriate as per the format. | Insufficient references and citations. | References and citations are not appropriate. |
| 10.4.3 | Description of Concepts and Technical Details | 10 | 5 | Complete explanation of the key concepts and Strong description of the technical requirements of the project | Complete explanation of the key concepts and In-sufficient description of the technical requirements of the project. | Complete explanation of the key concepts but little relevance to literature and In-sufficient description of the technical requirements of the project. | Inappropriate explanation of the key concepts and Poor description of the technical requirements of the project. |
| Results and discussion with Conclusion | 5 | Results are presented clear and complete. Clearly follow report discussion, meaningful recommendations and conclusions | Results are presented in good manner. Interpretation of results is appropriate and conclusion is unclear | Results presented are not much satisfactory Interpretation of results and conclusion not very appropriate. | Results are not presented properly. Project results is not summarized and concluded. |
| 10.6.1 | Use of standard figures, Tables and diagrams to complement the content of the report | 5 | 3 | Diagrams enhance the clarity and presentation of the idea. | Diagrams clarify the presentation of the idea. | Diagrams are presented but do not clarify the presentation of the idea. | Diagrams are not presented well and do not clarify the presentation of the idea. |
| 2 | Tables and figures are consistent with the text that covers all aspects of the specifications and appropriate to the project. | Tables and figures are consistent with the text that covers most of the specifications of the project. | Limited Tables and figures that are not well related to specifications of the project. | No proper use of tables and figures that are related to the specification of the project. |